



## AROS (Pilot) Program - Message to Applicant

This message represents the consensus opinion of the internal review panel that reviewed your application.

|                                               |                          |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>Family Name, Given Name, of Applicant</u>  | <u>Application No.</u>   |
| <u>Family Name, Given Name, of Advisor(s)</u> | <u>Title of Proposal</u> |

1. Excellence of the proposal (maximum score 16)

2. Relevance to regional innovation (maximum score 8)

3. Need for, and use of funds (maximum score 8)

Total Points:      (out of a maximum of 32)



### Selection Criteria: Applied Research Opportunities for Students

Applications will be judged according to the following criteria. An applicant can submit any number of separate applications. However, any applicant will be allowed to hold only one grant award at any time.

Applicants are encouraged to apply to additional funding programs (e.g. Government of Alberta’s STEP program) to supplement their proposed project activity.

For the purpose of grant disbursement, the successful applicant will be employed by NAIT during the duration of the project activity.

#### 1) Excellence of the Proposal (maximum of 16 points)

|                                                                                              | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Undecided (2) | Weak (1) | Poor (0) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|
| Focus and clarity of the specific objectives of the proposal                                 |               |          |               |          |          |
| Quality and feasibility of the work plan                                                     |               |          |               |          |          |
| Merit of the applied research activity and their potential to achieve the objectives         |               |          |               |          |          |
| The quality of the team and the availability of the right skills to accomplish the work plan |               |          |               |          |          |

#### 2) Relevance to Regional Innovation (maximum of 8 points)

|                                                                         | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Undecided (2) | Weak (1) | Poor (0) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|
| The extent to which the proposal is relevant to the needs of the region |               |          |               |          |          |
| Involvement of any beneficiary organization from the region             |               |          |               |          |          |

#### 3) Need for, and Use of, Resources (maximum of 8 points)

|                                                                              | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Undecided (2) | Weak (1) | Poor (0) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|
| The overall budget and the justification of the individual budget items      |               |          |               |          |          |
| The total resources (both monetary and in-kind) available from other sources |               |          |               |          |          |